

Random sampling in chirp space

Eric Carlen*, R. Vilela Mendes†

Abstract

For the space of functions that can be approximated by linear chirps, we prove a reconstruction theorem by random sampling at arbitrary rates.

Keywords: Random sampling, fractional Fourier transform, chirps

1 Introduction. Chirps, fractional Fourier transform and random sampling

In the Fréchet space of infinitely differentiable functions, with a topology defined by a family of norms

$$\|f(t)\|_{n,k} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t^n f^{(k)}(t)| \quad n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_1$

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 f)(\omega) = F(\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} f(t) dt \quad (2)$$

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA, carlen@math.gatech.edu, Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS 03-00349

†Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais, Av. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal, vilela@cii.fc.ul.pt; <http://label2.ist.utl.pt/vilela/>

‡Centro de Fusão Nuclear - EURATOM/IST Association, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

is an invertible transform with

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} F(\omega) d\omega \quad (3)$$

In the time-frequency plane with orthogonal axis t and ω , the Fourier transform has a geometrical interpretation as a rotation of the signal by $\alpha_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The fractional Fourier transform [1] [2] [3] corresponds also to a rotation in $t - \omega$ plane, but now by a non-integer multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $\alpha_b = b\frac{\pi}{2}$, namely

$$(\mathcal{F}_b f)(\zeta) = F_b(\zeta) = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\text{sgn}(\sin \alpha_b)\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha_b)}}{(2\pi |\sin \alpha_b|)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\left(-i\frac{t\zeta}{\sin \alpha_b} + \frac{i}{2} \cot \alpha_b (t^2 + \zeta^2)\right)} f(t) dt \quad (4)$$

with inverse $\mathcal{F}_{-b}$.

Another way to look at the Fourier transform equation (2) is as a decomposition of f into a combination of harmonics (the basis functions $\{e^{-i\omega t}\}$). As a result, the Fourier transform is a convenient way to code the signal when this one is a superposition of a (small) number of harmonics.

Instead of $e^{-i\omega t}$ the kernel of the fractional Fourier transform (4) is a linear chirp $e^{-i(\omega - ct)t}$ with $\omega = \frac{\zeta}{\sin \alpha_b}$ and $c = \frac{1}{2} \cot \alpha_b$. This suggests that a more general basis to expand a signal, with arbitrary features in the $\omega - t$ plane, is a basis of linear chirps.

The choice of the appropriate basis is an important issue when reconstructing signals from limited data and in particular for the reconstruction from non-uniform sampling. Sampling at irregular intervals is sometimes imposed by the nature of the phenomenon being observed as in the case of geological measurement, anemometry or radar signals. Reconstruction of the signal from such measurements poses special problems, because the usual spectral estimation methods are designed to work with equidistantly spaced time series. Special methods have therefore been developed to deal with the problem of irregular sampling of band-limited functions [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9].

Another problem, in the reconstruction or interpolation of signals, in the band-limited space, occurs when the sampling rate is below the Nyquist rate, especially in view of the Beurling-Landau density theorem [4] [10]. In this case irregular sampling of an appropriate type, instead of being a nuisance, may be of help for the asymptotically exact reconstruction of signals. This occurs in the space $\mathcal{A}$ of almost periodic functions and the basic mathematical result is the following:

Theorem 1 (Collet[11]) *Let $x_n = n\lambda + X_n$ with X_n being a sequence of i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed in $[0, \lambda]$. Then, almost every configuration $\{x_n\}$ of the point process has the property that if f is any complex almost periodic function satisfying*

$$f(x_n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (5)$$

Then $f \equiv 0$.

Recall that f is almost periodic[12] [13] if it is uniformly continuous and if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \Lambda(\varepsilon)$ such that any interval

$$[a, a + \Lambda(\varepsilon)] \quad (6)$$

contains a number $\tau(a, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\sup_x |f(x + \tau(a, \varepsilon)) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (7)$$

Given $f(x)$ almost periodic, $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ a trigonometric polynomial g approximating f uniformly, that is $\exists B_1(\varepsilon), \dots, B_a(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^a B_k e^{i2\pi\omega_k x} \quad (8)$$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (9)$$

The approximation by trigonometric polynomials and Collet's theorem provides a basis for asymptotically exact reconstruction algorithms at rates much below Nyquist's rate. However if the local signal frequencies vary in time the approximation by trigonometric polynomials is not very convenient. A more general *chirp basis* would be more appropriate. Here one provides a generalization of Collet's theorem to a space of functions that may be approximated by linear chirps. It contains, as a particular case, the space of almost periodic functions.

2 A uniform approximation result for random sampling in chirp space

Instead of (7), the approximation by trigonometric polynomials (Eqs. 8 and 9) provides an alternative, and equivalent, characterization of almost periodic functions. Likewise we define the space $\mathcal{LC}$ of *linear chirp functions* as the space of functions f such that $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists$ a finite number of real number sets $(\omega_1, c_1, \alpha_1, B_1), \dots, (\omega_k, c_k, \alpha_k, B_k)$ such that

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k B_j e^{i\{\omega_j + c_j(x - \alpha_j)\}x} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (11)$$

(the space of almost periodic functions corresponds to the $c_j = 0$ case).

The space $\mathcal{LC}$ of linear chirp functions is strictly larger than the space of almost periodic functions. It suffices to consider e^{ix^2} . If it were an almost periodic functions, it would exist ξ such that

$$\left| e^{i(x+\xi)^2} - e^{ix^2} \right| < \varepsilon \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

with $\varepsilon < 1$. Choose $x^* = \frac{k\pi - \xi^2}{2\xi}$ and k odd. Then

$$\left| e^{i(x^*+\xi)^2} - e^{ix^{*2}} \right| = 2 > \varepsilon$$

a contradiction.

For functions in $\mathcal{LC}$ one obtains the following:

Theorem 2 *Let $x_n = n\lambda + X_n$ with X_n being a sequence of i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed in $[0, \lambda]$. Then, almost every configuration $\{x_n\}$ of the point process has the property that if f is a function in the linear chirp space satisfying*

$$f(x_n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then $f \equiv 0$.

For the proof one needs the following :

Theorem 3 *For almost every configuration $\{x_n\}$ of the random process, one has*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{-L \leq n \leq L} e^{i(\omega x_n + c x_n^2)} = 0$$

for real ω and c with $\omega \neq 0$.

The proof may follow similar steps as the proof of proposition 5 in [11]. A simpler argument uses the invariant measure properties of the random dynamical system in the circle

$$y_n = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} (n\lambda + X_n) + \frac{c}{2\pi} (n\lambda + X_n)^2 \pmod{1} \quad (12)$$

Let first $c = 0, \omega \neq 0$ and (without loss of generality) $\lambda = 1$. What the dynamical system (12) does is to cover the circle with intervals of length $\frac{\omega}{2\pi}$ and, in each one, to choose a point at random. Because X_n has uniform distribution in each interval, the distribution of y_n is also uniform. Therefore, by the ergodic theorem

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{-L \leq n \leq L} e^{i\omega x_n} = \langle e^{i2\pi y_n} \rangle_{S^1} = 0 \quad (13)$$

for generic sequences $\{X_n\}$.

For c and $\omega \neq 0$, the proof is more delicate. At each step n , of the random dynamical system 12, the interval where the random point is chosen is

$$\left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi} n + \frac{c}{2\pi} n^2, \frac{\omega}{2\pi} (n+1) + \frac{c}{2\pi} (n+1)^2 \right] \quad (14)$$

For all

$$n > n^* = \frac{2\pi - \omega}{2c} - \frac{1}{2}$$

the interval in (14) wraps one or more times over the circle. In the interval (14) the distribution of the random points would be

$$\rho_n(y) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 8\pi c y}}$$

When the interval wraps around the circle the maximum possible deviation from uniformity of the density is

$$\Delta\rho_n = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + 4c(\omega n + cn^2)}} \quad (15)$$

Therefore we have a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of independent random variables with values in $[0, 1]$ and each one has a density $\rho_n(y)$ with

$$\rho_n(y) = 1 + r_n(y)$$

where

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |r_n(x)| = a_n .$$

We are interested in the case in which

$$a_n = \mathcal{O}(1/n) \quad (16)$$

and what to check whether

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \sin(2\pi j X_j) = 0 , \quad (17)$$

and also to relate the speed of convergence in the second limit to the speed of convergence in the first limit.

For each n , define Y_n by

$$Y_n = \sin(2\pi X_n) \quad (18)$$

and let μ_n be its mean. Define S_N by

$$S_N = \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n . \quad (19)$$

Also, define $M_n(\xi)$ by

$$M_n(\xi) = E(e^{\xi Y_n}) . \quad (20)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$M_n(\xi) \geq e^{\xi \mu_n} .$$

The following lemma is a simple variant of a well known estimate of Cramer. The variation, probably not new, is that our sequence of random variables, $\{Y_n\}$, is not identically distributed.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that for each N there is a convex function $\Phi_N(\xi)$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \ln M_n(\xi/N) \leq \Phi_N(\xi) . \quad (21)$$

Let $I_N(y)$ denote the Legendre transform of Φ_N ; i.e.,

$$I_N(y) = \sup_{\xi} (y\xi - \Phi_N(\xi)) . \quad (22)$$

Also, let

$$m_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu_n . \quad (23)$$

Then, for any number $y > m_N$,

$$\ln (\Pr (S_N/N > y)) \leq -I_N(y) . \quad (24)$$

Proof: By the Chebychev inequality, for all $\xi \geq 0$,

$$\Pr (S_N/N > y) \leq e^{-y\xi} E (e^{\xi S_N/N}) .$$

But by the independence,

$$E (e^{\xi S_N/N}) = \prod_{n=1}^N M_n(\xi/N) ,$$

and so

$$\ln (\Pr (S_N/N > y)) \leq -\xi y + \sum_{n=1}^N \ln M_n(\xi/N) \quad (25)$$

Since $\ln M_n(\xi) \geq \xi\mu_n$ for each n and ξ , it follows $m_N\xi \leq \Phi_N(\xi)$. Then

$$y\xi - \Phi_N(\xi) \leq y\xi - m_N\xi \leq 0$$

for each $\xi < 0$ and $y > m_N$. Then

$$I_N(y) = \sup_{\xi \geq 0} (y\xi - \Phi_N(\xi)) .$$

Therefore, (24) follows from (25).

The same sort of reasoning shows that for any number $y < m_N$,

$$\ln (\Pr (S_N/N < y)) \leq -I_N(y)$$

We now apply this in the following setting. From (16) we may deduce estimates on each $\ln M_n(\xi)$ of the form

$$\ln M_n(\xi) \leq \mu_n \xi + C\xi^2 .$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \ln M_n(\xi) \leq N(m_N \xi + C\xi^2) .$$

In this case, we can apply the Lemma with

$$\Phi_N(\xi) = m_N \xi + \frac{C}{N} \xi^2 .$$

Computing the Legendre transform, we then have

$$I_N(y) = \frac{N}{4C} (m_N - y)^2 .$$

Now, define b_N by

$$b_N^2 = \frac{8C \ln N}{N} .$$

Then

$$\Pr (S_N/N > m_N + b_N) \leq e^{-Nb_N^2/4C} = N^{-2} .$$

Since this is summable, the Borel–Cantelli lemma implies that the probability for S_N/N to exceed $m_N + b_N$ infinitely often is zero. This large deviation estimate implies (17). A similar reasoning applies for the cosine series. This completes the proof of theorem 3.

Proof of theorem 2:

Let $f(x_n) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g(x)$ be its ε -approximation by linear chirp polynomials. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{-L \leq n \leq L} e^{-i\{\omega x_n + c x_n^2\}} g(x_n) \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{-L \leq n \leq L} e^{-i\{\omega x_n + c x_n^2\}} (g(x_n) - f(x_n)) \right| \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all ω and c . Inserting now Eq.(10) in the left-hand side of the above equation one obtains

$$\left| \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{-L \leq n \leq L} \sum_{j=1}^k B_j e^{i\{(\omega_j - c_j \alpha_j - \omega)x_n + (c_j - c)x_n^2\}} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

Choosing $\omega = \omega_j - c_j \alpha_j$, $c = c_j$ and using the lemma, one concludes that for almost every configuration $\{x_n\}$,

$$|B_j| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all j in the linear chirp approximation.

Because this result holds for all ε and the linear chirp basis functions are kernels to the fractional Fourier transform, one concludes that the function f has zero fractional Fourier spectrum. Therefore it is the zero function. $\square$

As in the case of functions in the almost periodic space, the above result may be used to estimate functions in the linear chirp space by random sampling. If from a time series $h(x_n)$, one obtains, by the appropriate algorithm, a linear chirp approximation $g(x)$ coinciding with the sampled function on a typical sequence $\{x_n\}$, that is

$$f(x_n) = g(x_n) - h(x_n) = 0$$

then, in the above defined space, one knows that $g(x) = h(x)$ for all x .

3 Nonlinear chirps

By a nonlinear chirp, we mean a linear combination of functions of the form $e^{ip(x)}$ where p is a real polynomial of some degree $m > 2$. As before, let

c denote the leading coefficient. The analysis leading to Theorem 3 easily extends to include nonlinear as well as linear chirps. Although these nonlinear chirp functions have no connection with the fractional Fourier transform when, it is of interest to see how far one may extend the analysis, especially as the extension requires very little modification.

To see how this goes, observe that for large $|x|$, the leading term in $p(x)$ dominates, and so there is an $N < \infty$ so that for all $|x| > N$,

$$|p'(x)| \geq (|c|m/2)|x|^{m-1} > 2\pi . \quad (26)$$

In particular, for $|n| > N$, $p(x)$ is monotone on $[n, n+1]$.

Let $\sigma_n(y)$ be the density for the random variable $y = p(x)$ with x chosen uniformly in $[n, n+1]$ for $|n| > N$. We may assume without loss of generality that $p(x)$ is increasing on this interval, and in this case,

$$\sigma_n(y) = \frac{1}{p'(x(y))} ,$$

where $p(n) \leq y \leq p(n+1)$, and $x(y)$ is the unique solution of $p(x) = y$.

As before, the interval $[p(n), p(n+1)]$ “wraps” one or more times around the circle, inducing the density $\rho_n(y)$ on the circle. The variation between the maximum and the minimum of ρ_n is no more than the maximum value of $\sigma_n(y)$. By our assumption (26), this is no more than $2m|c|/n^{m-1}$.

Therefore, we have that

$$\rho_n(y) = 1 + r_n(y)$$

with

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |r_n(x)| = a_n = \mathcal{O}(n^{m-1}) .$$

This is an improvement over (16), and from here, the proof of the analog of Theorem 3 proceeds as before.

Acknowledgement: E.C. would like to thank Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais for hospitality during his work in Lisbon on this paper.

References

- [1] H. M. Ozaktas, Z. Zalevsky and M. A. Kutay; *The fractional Fourier transform*, Wiley, Chichester 2001.
- [2] A. Bultheel and H. Martínez; *A shattered survey of the fractional Fourier transform*, <http://www.cs.kuleuven.ac.be/cwis/research/nalag/papers/ade/frft/>
- [3] A. Bultheel and H. Martínez-Sulbaran; *Recent developments in the theory of the fractional Fourier transform and linear canonical transforms*, <http://www.cs.kuleuven.ac.be/cwis/research/nalag/papers/ade/frft2/>, to appear in Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin.
- [4] H. J. Landau; *Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions*, Acta Math. 117 (1967) 37-52.
- [5] K. Seip; *An irregular sampling theorem for functions bandlimited in a generalized sense*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 47 (1987) 1112-1116.
- [6] J. J. Benedetto and W. Heller; *Irregular sampling and the theory of frames*, Math. Note 10 (1990) 103-125.
- [7] H. G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig; *Irregular sampling theorems and series expansion of band-limited functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 167(1992) 530-556.
- [8] K. Gröchenig; *Reconstruction algorithms in irregular sampling*, Math. Comp. 59 (1992) 181-194.
- [9] G. Say Song and I. G. H. Ong; *Reconstruction of bandlimited signals from irregular samples*, Signal Process. 46 (1995) 315-329.
- [10] K. Gröchenig and H. Razafinjato; *On Landau's necessary conditions for sampling and interpolation of band-limited functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 54 (1996) 557-565.
- [11] P. Collet; *Sampling almost periodic functions with random probes of finite density*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A452 (1996) 2263-2277.
- [12] A. Besicovitch; *Almost periodic functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1932.

- [13] C. Corduneanu, N. Gheorghiu and V. Barbu; *Almost periodic functions*, Chelshea Pub. Comp., New York 1989.